

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/092,168	Applicant(s) SATULOORI ET AL.	
	Examiner Li B. Zhen	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Kowert.

(2) Li B. Zhen.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,4,5,17,32,33,39 and 41


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending the limitation "computer-accessible medium" in claims 1,4,5,17,32 and 33 to "computer-accessible storage medium" to clearly recite statutory subject matter. In addition, claim 1 should be amended to recite the computer-accessible storage medium stores program instructions and claim 41 should be amended to recite computer-accessible storage medium storing program instructions. Finally, claim 39 currently depends from cancelled claim 26 and it appears that claim 39 should depend from claim 27. Applicant's representative authorized examiner to amend the discussed claims in an examiner's amendment.